

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,787 26191	10/24/2001 7590 09/03/2003	Alan Bochan	11939-007001 / 06 1019 01	5132
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3300 DAIN RAUSCHER PLAZA 60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	(0/042,797 Examiner	BOCHAN et al.  Group Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	H. VARGO		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Period for Reply		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		MONTHON THE MAILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory min tt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del>		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193</li> </ul>			
Disposition of Claims		•	
▼ Claim(s) 1 ~ (9		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed.	
▼ Claim(s) 1 - 19		is/are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	••	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
$\hfill \Box$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	a)—(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	eceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been in	eceived in Application N	No	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document			
in this national stage application from the International	•		
*Certified copies not received:	<del></del>	·	
Attachment(s)	, (		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	o(s). <u> </u>	nterview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	<b>-1</b>	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
		<b>-</b>	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 🗆 (	Other	

Application/Control Number: 10/042,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinmeyer et al -854 in view of Jackson et al (see col. 1, lines 44-45; the formula at the bottom of

column 1; col. 2, lines 1-29).

The primary reference discloses the basic claimed advanced epoxy and process for preparing an advanced epoxy material lacking essentially the use of an iminium salt catalyst as the reaction promoter. For instance, see column 2, lines 45-58 for the equivalent and average molecular weight of the product and the ratio of aromatic hydroxy groups to epoxide groups; col. 2, line 62 through col. 3, line 6 for the extruder temperatures and reaction times; col. 4, lines 38-42 for the solvents used; and columns 3 and 4 for the vicinal epoxy group molecules and the linking material molecules. Jackson et al discloses the instant iminium salt catalyst as a promoter for the reaction between an epoxy group and a reactive linking group, and teaches that such a catalyst has good storage stability and low sensitivity to moisture--see Jackson et al, column 1, lines 41-45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have substituted the catalyst taught in the primary reference with the one disclosed in Jackson et al for the attendant benefits as taught in Jackson et al --namely, a good storage stability. Clearly, this would be advantageously employed in making an advanced epoxy material with a low sensitivity

Application/Control Number: 10/042,787

Art Unit: 1732

to moisture. The primary reference discloses the epoxy resin as a coating composition--see

passage bridging columns 1 and 2.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinmeyer et 2.

al -854 in view of Jackson et al and Heinemeyer et al -156 (see abstract).

Heinmeyer et al -854 and Jackson et al have been discussed in paragraph 1, supra, the references

disclosing the basic claimed method lacking essentially a clear showing of feeding chain

terminators to the extruder. Heinemeyer et al -156 discloses this and discloses that the chain

terminators would be added to the extruder at the point where the desired reaction has taken

place--see column 7, lines 6-9. Since the primary reference (col. 7, lines 21-24) refers to

Heinemeyer et al -156 in teaching that the extrusion process disclosed therein is suitable, it

certainly would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ chain terminators as taught

in Heinemeyer et al -156 in the process as disclosed in Heinmeyer et al -854 to form the desired

reaction product.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

September 1, 2003

4. Vargot

Page 3

9/1/03